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## The Theorem

## Theorem (The First Conway-Gordon Theorem)

Every Spatial Embedding of $K_{6}$ contains a non-trivial link

## Definition

A Spatial Embedding of a graph $G$ is the image of a injective continuous map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$


## Outline of Conway-Gordon Proof

- Define the invariant $\Omega(G)=\sum \mathrm{lk}(\alpha, \beta)(\bmod 2)$, where the sum is over all unordered pairs of Hamiltonian pairs of cycles in $G$.
- Show that $\Omega$ is invariant under isotopy and crossing changes.
- Apply lemma that states that any spatial embedding can be changed to any other using only isotopy and crossing changes.
- Show that $\Omega=1$ for a specific embedding of $K_{6}$.
- Conclude that $\Omega=1$ for all spatial embeddings of $K_{6}$, and thus all embeddings of $K_{6}$ contain a nontrivial Hamiltonian link.


## Generalizing to $K_{n}$

Most of the proof does not rely on the graph being $K_{6}$ :

- Define the invariant $\Omega(G)=\sum \mathrm{lk}(\alpha, \beta)(\bmod 2)$, where the sum is over all unordered pairs of Hamiltonian pairs of cycles in $G$.
- Show that $\Omega$ is invariant under isotopy and crossing changes.
- Apply lemma that states that any spatial embedding can be changed to any other using only isotopy and crossing changes.
- Show that $\Omega=1$ for a specific embedding of $K_{n}$.


## The Results of the Generalization

The following theorems related to the generalization of the first Conway-Gordon theorem were given by Kazakov and Korablev:

## Theorem

For any two spatial embeddings $G_{n}^{\prime}, G_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ of $K_{n}, n \geq 6$, $\Omega\left(G_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\Omega\left(G_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

## Theorem

Let $G_{n}$ be a spatial embedding of $K_{n}$. Then $\Omega\left(G_{n}\right)=1$ if $n=6$, and $\Omega\left(G_{n}\right)=0$ if $n>6$.

## The First Theorem

## Theorem

For any two spatial embeddings $G_{n}^{\prime}, G_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ of $K_{n}, n \geq 6$, $\Omega\left(G_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\Omega\left(G_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Where

$$
\Omega(G)=\sum \mathrm{lk}(\alpha, \beta) \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

is the sum is over all unordered pairs of Hamiltonian pairs of cycles in $G$.

## Idea of Proof

This proof relies on the same lemma used in the Conway-Gordon proof:

## Lemma

Let $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ be spatial embeddings of the same graph. Then, $G^{\prime}$ can be transformed to $G^{\prime \prime}$ by a series of crossing changes and isotopies

All that remains is to show that $\Omega$ is invariant under isotopies and crossing changes.
Since $\Omega$ is the sum of linking numbers (which are invariant under isotopy) clearly $\Omega$ is invariant under isotopy.

## The Proof

Once again, to show that $\Omega$ is unaffected over crossing changes. There are three cases, but not really:
(1) The crossing is with and edge and itself
(2) The crossing is between two adjacent edges
(3) The crossing is between two-nonadjacent edges

However, the first two cases can be discarded, as any such crossing change has no effect on any linking number, and thus has no effect on $\Omega$

## The Proof, Cont.

Thus, we need only consider when an edge crosses with another, non-adjacent edge, call them $A$ and $B$. As before, for a given link $L=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right), A \subset L_{1}$ and $B \subset L_{2}$, it's linking number changes by 1 after the crossing change. So,

$$
\Omega=\Omega^{\prime}+\sum_{(A, B) \subset\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)} 1 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

And we need noly show that the number of such crossings is even.
To this end, for a given link $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$, break it down as follows, where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are the vertices of $A$, and $b_{1}, b_{2}$ are the vertices of $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=a_{1} \mapsto a_{2} \mapsto v_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{n} \mapsto a_{1} \\
& L_{2}=b_{1} \mapsto b_{2} \mapsto w_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{m} \mapsto b_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Great Finale

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=a_{1} \mapsto a_{2} \mapsto v_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{n} \mapsto a_{1} \\
& L_{2}=b_{1} \mapsto b_{2} \mapsto w_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{m} \mapsto b_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this description of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, define a link

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=a_{1} \mapsto a_{2} \mapsto w_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{n} \mapsto a_{1} \\
& N_{2}=b_{1} \mapsto b_{2} \mapsto v_{1} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{m} \mapsto b_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This defines a map of order two between links, and because $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \neq\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$, it is a pairing between the set of links so that $A \subset L_{1}, B \subset L_{2}$. Thus, $\Omega$ is invariant over any spatial embedding of $K_{n}$
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## An Illustrated Diagram



## Idea of the Proof

## Theorem

Let $G_{n}$ be a spatial embedding of $K_{n}$. Then $\Omega\left(G_{n}\right)=1$ if $n=6$, and $\Omega\left(G_{n}\right)=0$ if $n>6$.

Because of theorem one, we now know that $\Omega$ is independent of spatial embedding, so we need only calculate it for a specific embedding given $n$. To this end, we chose the following embedding:
(1) Label the vertices of $K_{n} v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n-1}$
(2) Place them in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ so that they project down to a regular $n$-gon
(3) Fix $v_{0}$, then place the vertex $v_{i} i$ units lower than $v_{0}$ in the z-direction

## Chosen Embedding example

Projected down to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the embedding looks something like this:


In general, two edges, call them $e=\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and $f=\left(v_{k}, v_{l}\right), i<j$, $k<l$ intersect iff either $I<j<k<i$ or $j<l<i \leqslant k$.

## Introducing Destabilization

For ease of reference, call the set of (Unordered) disjoint pairs of Hamiltonian Cycles in $K_{n} \Gamma_{n}$. We're trying to compute a huge sum, being $\Omega$, so the general strategy will be to partition $\Gamma$ in to easy to compute chunks.

## Definition

$\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ is the set of all disjoint Hamiltonian cycles $(\alpha, \beta)$ so that one of the cycles is a "triangle" containing $v_{0}$.

Destabilization is going to be defined of $\Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$.

## Destabilization

Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$, and WLOG, assume $v_{0} \in \alpha$. Then, $\alpha$ has at least four vertices, two of which are neighboring $v_{0}$, call them $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. The destabilization of this pair results in a new pair $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta\right)$, where the edges $\left(v_{i}, v_{0}\right)$ and $\left(v_{0}, v_{j}\right)$ are removed and replaced with $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$


Notice we are not left with a something in $\Gamma_{n}$. It is however, within $\Gamma_{n-1}$ when considering $K_{n} \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}$.

## Dealing with $\Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$

## Lemma

Let $G_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a spatial complete graph with $n \geq 6$ vertices. Then

$$
\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \equiv(n+1) \sum_{[(\alpha, \beta)]} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\gamma, \delta) \quad(\bmod 2),
$$

Where the last sum is taken over all equivalence classes of Hamiltonian pairs of cycles in $\Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ and the pair $(\gamma, \delta)$ is obtained by destabilization of $(\alpha, \beta)$ along the vertex $v_{0}$.

## Proof of Lemma 1

Let $(\delta, \gamma)$ be the image of some destabilization along the vertex $v_{0}$. Any element that reduces to it (i.e. elements of the set $[(\alpha, \beta)]$ ), can be obtained as follows:

There are $n-1$ places to "add back" the vertex $v_{0}$. For any edge $e \in(\delta, \gamma)$, with end points $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, we can replace it with the two edges $\left(v_{i}, v_{0}\right)$ and $\left(v_{0}, v_{j}\right)$.

The question is now how $\mathrm{lk}_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{lk}_{2}(\delta, \gamma)$ relate, where $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in[(\alpha, \beta)]$
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## Proof of Lemma 1, Cont.

With out loss of generality, assume that $v_{0} \in \alpha^{\prime}$, and that the vertex $v_{0}$ was added to $\delta$. Then, $\mathrm{lk}_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to the number of times $\alpha^{\prime}$ crosses over $\beta^{\prime}$. This can be broken down into the sum over all the edges, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{e \in \alpha^{\prime}} I_{e} \quad(\bmod 2) \\
\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\delta, \gamma) & =\sum_{e \in \delta} I_{e} \quad(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $l_{e}$ stands for the number of crossings between $e$ and $\beta^{\prime}$. (Note that $\beta^{\prime}=\gamma$ ). Now, the only difference in in the summation are what edges are being summed over. $\delta$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ differ only by a triangle with $v_{0}, v_{i}$, and $v_{j}$ as the vertices.

## Proof of Lemma 1, Cont.

From the remarks on the previous slide,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\delta, \beta^{\prime}\right)+I_{\left(v_{i}, v_{0}\right)}+I_{\left(v_{0}, v_{j}\right)}-I_{\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
& (\bmod 2) \\
& =\operatorname{Ik}_{2}\left(\delta, \beta^{\prime}\right)+I_{\left(v_{i}, v_{0}\right)}+I_{\left(v_{0}, v_{j}\right)}+I_{\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \\
& (\bmod 2) \\
& =\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\delta, \beta^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\Delta_{0 i j} ; \beta^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if we sum over all possible choices of where $v_{0}$ can go, i.e. summing over a particular equivalence class of $[(\alpha, \beta)]$, then we see that each $I_{\left(v_{0}, v_{i}\right)}$ get duplicated, and cancel.

## Proof of Lemma 1, Cont.

Next, the $I_{\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)}$, once summed over every edge in $\delta$, is simply $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\delta, \gamma)$, and once we sum over every in $\gamma$, we get another copy of $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\delta, \gamma)$. Thus,

$$
\sum_{e} I_{e}=2 \mathrm{lk}_{2}(\delta, \gamma) \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) & =(n-1) \sum_{[(\alpha, \beta)]} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\gamma, \delta)+2 \sum_{[(\alpha, \beta)]} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\gamma, \delta) \\
& =(n+1) \sum_{[(\alpha, \beta)]} \mathrm{l}_{2}(\gamma, \delta)(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

## Symmetry yrtemmyS

We've divided $\Gamma_{n}$ into $\Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$. We're going to further divide $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ into more subsets, using symmetry.

To this end, we introduce a family of functions that maps the vertices of the spatial embedding to the other vertices

$$
\tau_{k}\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}v_{i}, & i \leq k \\ v_{n-i} & k<i<n-k \\ v_{i} & i \geq n-k\end{cases}
$$

This induces a corresponding map on the edges.

## Wait, How was that Symmetry?

Our choice of embedding also plays into this. Each map can be described as keeping the first $k$ and last $k$ vertices in the same places, and reflecting the other vertices over the line through $v_{0}$ and the center of the $n$-gon.

In particular, $\tau_{0}$ is literally the reflection about this line, and it preserves crossings (That are not incident $v_{0}$ ): let $e, f$ be edges, with $e=\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), i<j$ and $f=\left(v_{k}, v_{l}\right), k<l$.
If they intersect, then either $i<k<j<I$ or $k<i<I<j$. So, $n-I<n-j<n-k<n-i$ or $n-k<n-I<n-i<n-k$, which implies $\tau(e)$ and $\tau(f)$ intersect.


## $S t_{\tau_{0}}$ and $S t_{\tau}$

Now, we can define the two following notions: $S t_{\tau} \subset S t_{\tau_{0}} \subset \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$

## Definition

$S t_{\tau_{0}}$ consists of elements $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ so that $\tau_{0}(\alpha)=\alpha$ and $\tau_{0}(\beta)=\beta$


## $S t_{\tau}$

Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in S t_{\tau_{0}}$, and assume WLOG that $\alpha$ is the triangle containing $v_{0}$. Since it is invariant over $\tau$, the other two vertices of the triangle must be $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$. This means that $\alpha$ is automatically invariant over $\tau_{k}$.

## Definition

$S t_{\tau}$ consists of elements $(\alpha, \beta) \in S t_{\tau_{0}}$ so that $\tau_{k}(\beta)=\beta$
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## Dealing with $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$

Just as we divided $\Gamma$ into $\Gamma \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$, our plan for dealing with $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ will be similar: We're going to divide $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right)$ into

$$
\Delta\left(v_{0}\right) \backslash S t_{\tau_{0}}, \quad S t_{\tau_{0}} \backslash S t_{\tau}, \quad \text { and } S t_{\tau}
$$

Since we're calculating things (mod 2), the lack of symmetry in $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right) \backslash S t_{\tau_{0}}$ and $S t_{\tau_{0}} \backslash S t_{\tau}$ will help us pair linking numbers, while the restrictiveness of $S t_{\tau}$ will help us in its case.

## Dealing with $\Delta\left(v_{0}\right) \backslash S t_{\tau_{0}}$

Since we are excluding $S t_{\tau_{0}},(\alpha, \beta) \neq\left(\tau_{0}(\alpha), \tau_{0}(\beta)\right)$. Since $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\tau_{0}(\alpha), \tau_{0}(\beta)\right)$, their sum is $0(\bmod 2)$.


Thus

$$
\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\left(v_{0}\right) \backslash S t_{\tau_{0}}} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=0 \quad(\bmod 2) .
$$

## Dealing with $S t_{\tau_{0}} \backslash S t_{\tau}$

Since we are excluding $S t_{\tau}, \beta \neq \tau_{k}(\beta)$
Since $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=\mathrm{Ik}_{2}\left(\tau_{0}(\alpha), \tau_{0}(\beta)\right)$, their sum is $0(\bmod 2)$.


Thus

$$
\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in S t_{\tau_{0}} \backslash S t_{\tau}} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=0 \quad(\bmod 2) .
$$

## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Finally, we must sum up $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $(\alpha, \beta)$ in $S t_{\tau}$.
First, consider the case where $\alpha$ uses vertices $v_{0}, v_{1}$, and $v_{n-1}$.


Clearly $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=0$ for any $(\alpha, \beta)$ in this case.

## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Now, consider the other case. Then $\alpha$ uses vertices $v_{0}, v_{k}$, and $v_{n-k}$ with $k>1$.
Suppose there are no vertices between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$.


Clearly $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=0$ for any $(\alpha, \beta)$ in this case as well.

## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Now, suppose there are at least 2 vertices between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$.


## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

There must be at least one edge connecting the lower vertices to the upper ones:


Due to $\tau_{0}$ symmetry, we can add a second edge:


## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Due to $\tau_{k}$ symmetry, we can add two more edges:


After this, we have already closed off $\beta$. Therefore this is the only $(\alpha, \beta)$ in $S t_{\tau}$ that has 2 or more vertices between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$. And since this $(\alpha, \beta)$ has $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=0$, we can also ignore this case.

## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Finally, we have the case where there is exactly 1 vertex between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$. For every $(\alpha, \beta)$ in this case, $\mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=1$.


Now the question is: For each $n$, how many $(\alpha, \beta)$ are there of this type?

## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Clearly there are zero such $(\alpha, \beta)$ for any odd $n$, since in order to have a single point at the bottom of the $n$-gon, $n$ must be even.

If $n=6$, then there is exactly one such $(\alpha, \beta)$, shown below:


## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

Finally, if $n>6$ is even, then after adding the two symmetric edges of $\beta$ to the bottom vertex there will be at least 2 more vertices that still need to be added to $\beta$.


After these remaining vertices are all connected with a symmetric path, there are two ways to connect the two parts of $\beta$.
This means that there are an even number of $(\alpha, \beta)$ in this case.
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## Dealing with $S t_{\tau}$

To summarize, there are 4 cases for $(\alpha, \beta)$ in $S t_{\tau}$ :

- $\alpha$ uses $v_{0}, v_{1}$, and $v_{n-1}$
- 0 vertices between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$
- 2+ vertices between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$
- Exactly 1 vertex between $v_{k}$ and $v_{n-k}$

The sum of linking numbers mod 2 is always zero in the first 3 cases, and for the fourth case, the sum of linking numbers is 1 for $n=6$ and 0 for all $n>6$.

## Land Ho! Eternity! Ashore At Last

Combining everything from above, for $n \geq 7$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega\left(K_{n}\right)= & \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{n}} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \quad(\bmod 2) \\
= & \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{n} \backslash \Delta\left(v_{0}\right)} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)+\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\left(v_{0}\right) \backslash S t_{\tau_{0}}} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \\
& +\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in S t_{\tau_{0}} \backslash S t_{\tau}} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)+\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in S t_{\tau}} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \quad(\bmod 2) \\
= & (n+1) \sum_{[(\alpha, \beta)]} \mathrm{Ik}_{2}(\gamma, \delta)+0+0+0 \quad(\bmod 2) \\
= & (n+1) \Omega\left(K_{n-1}\right)(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The End

$$
\Omega\left(K_{n}\right)=(n+1) \Omega\left(K_{n-1}\right) \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

For odd $n, n+1=0(\bmod 2)$, so clearly $\Omega\left(K_{n}\right)=0$.
For all even $n>6, \Omega\left(K_{n-1}\right)=0$ since $n-1$ is odd.
Thus $\Omega\left(K_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n>6$, completing the proof.

